

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/821,753	03/30/2001	Tuqiang Ni	2328-053	5171 .
759	7590 01/03/2005		EXAMINER	
LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN & BERNER, LLP			ALEJANDRO MULERO, LUZ L	
Suite 310 1700 Diagonal I	Road		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, VA			1763	
			DATE MAILED: 01/02/200	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			W				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/821,753	NI ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit					
	Luz L. Al jandro	1763					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondenc add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 20 December 2004 FAILS TO PLATHER FOR FUTURE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to Final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR R	REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shorten (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. ension and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate exercises. The appropriate exercises final Office action; or	See MPEP te extension fee ttension fee under (2) as set forth in				
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C 							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without cand NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reju	ection(s): See Continuation Shee	<u>et</u> .					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
∴ The a) affidavit, b) are exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims 	ent(s) a) will not be entered or would be rejected is provided be	b)⊠ will be entered low or appended.	I and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	'S:						
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.							
Claim(s) objected to: NONE							
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,8-13,17,18,20-23,25,26,28,30 and 31</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 14,16,24	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 14,16,24 and 27.						
The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Staten	nent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·					
10. Other:		Luz L. Alejandro Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1763	dio				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the rejection of claims 1-6, 8-13, 17-18, 20-23, 25-26, 28, and 30-31 under 35 USC 112, first pargraph and the objection to the specification under 35 USC 132.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it is clear that the etching is what causes the rounded trench structure in Bhardwaj et al. (see col. 4-lines 3-11). The deposition process forms the passivation layer ov r the trench and therefore the portion of the process that forms the rounded trench can be considered to be solely the etching portion of the process. Furthermore, ramping or gradually changing the RF power is also discussed in figs. 19A-19B and col. 8-line 57 to col. 9-line 26). While applicant states that the instant invention does not form a passivation layer, the claims are not limited to the omission of this feature.